

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
9 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**
10

11 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
12 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
13 LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-MD-3084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME**

14 This Document Relates to:

15 ALL ACTIONS

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

16
17
18 Having considered Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA,
19 LLC's (collectively, "Uber") Administrative Motion to Shorten Time for Plaintiffs' Response to
20 Uber's Motion for a Filing Cutoff, all other papers and evidence submitted in support and
21 opposition, the pertinent pleadings and papers on file in this action, and the arguments of counsel,
22 and all other matters presented to the Court, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is
23 **GRANTED**. Accordingly, the deadline for Plaintiffs' to respond to Uber's Motion for a Filing
24 Cutoff is February 26, 2025, and the Motion shall be heard on February 28, 2025.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26
27 Dated: _____

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE